

Scheme			Large: A - charging	Large: B- charging	Large: C - charging	Large: D - charging	Medium - BCC & SGC AQMA combined: A - charging	Medium - BCC & SGC AQMA combined: B- charging	Medium - BCC & SGC AQMA combined: C - charging	Medium - BCC & SGC AQMA combined: D - charging	Medium - BCC & SGC AQMA separate: A - charging	Medium - BCC & SGC AQMA separate: B- charging		
Timescale to achieve compliance			After 2024	After 2024	After 2024	After 2024	2024	2023	2021	2021	2024	2023		
Critical Success Factors	Deliver compliance with NO2 air quality limit values and objectives in the shortest possible timescales	Very High	4	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail		
	Provide equity across different vehicle types and trip purposes	Low	1	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Reject - Low scoring option, and in particular does not comply with Defra's CAZ Framework	Reject - Low scoring option, and in particular does not comply with Defra's CAZ Framework	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales		
	Compliance with the CAZ framework, including minimum requirements	High	3										2	3
	Mitigate financial impact on low income households	Very High	4										1	1
	Improve health of low income households	Very High	4										2	1
	Economic effect	Medium	2										2	2
	Improve public health	Very High	4										1	1
	Likelihood of revenue equating to implementation/operational costs	-	-										2	2
	Upfront capital required for scheme	-	-										2	2
	Delivery timescale risks of procurement	Low	1										2	2
	Risk of financial penalty to the Council/s	Low	1										2	3
	Public acceptability	Medium	2										2	1
Political acceptability	Medium	2	2	2										
Weighted Average Score (excluding financial CSFs)								43	39					
Decision			Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Reject - Low scoring option, and in particular does not comply with Defra's CAZ Framework	Reject - Low scoring option, and in particular does not comply with Defra's CAZ Framework	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales		

Scheme			Medium - BCC & SGC AQMA separate: C - charging	Medium - BCC & SGC AQMA separate: D - charging	Medium - BCC AQMA: A - charging	Medium - BCC AQMA: B- charging	Medium - BCC AQMA: C - charging	Medium - BCC AQMA: D - charging	Small: A - charging	Small: B- charging	Small: C - charging	Small: D - charging	
Timescale to achieve compliance			2021	2021	2024	2023	2021	2021	2024	2023	2021	2021	
Critical Success Factors	Deliver compliance with NO2 air quality limit values and objectives in the shortest possible timescales	Very High	4	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass
	Provide equity across different vehicle types and trip purposes	Low	1	2	3	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	2	3	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	2	3
	Compliance with the CAZ framework, including minimum requirements	High	3	1	1			3	3			3	3
	Mitigate financial impact on low income households	Very High	4	2	1			2	1			3	2
	Improve health of low income households	Very High	4	2	2			2	2			1	2
	Economic effect	Medium	2	1	1			1	1			2	1
	Improve public health	Very High	4	2	2			2	2			1	2
	Likelihood of revenue equating to implementation/operational costs	-	-	1	2			1	2			2	3
	Upfront capital required for scheme	-	-	2	2			2	2			3	3
	Delivery timescale risks of procurement	Low	1	2	2			2	2			3	3
	Risk of financial penalty to the Council/s	Low	1	2	3			2	3			1	2
	Public acceptability	Medium	2	2	1			2	1			2	1
Political acceptability	Medium	2	2	2	2	2	2	2					
Weighted Average Score (excluding financial CSFs)			43	39			49	45			47	49	
Decision			Reject - Low scoring option, and in particular does not comply with Defra's CAZ Framework	Reject - Low scoring option, and in particular does not comply with Defra's CAZ Framework	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Feasible	Feasible	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Reject - not anticipated to achieve compliance in shortest possible timescales	Feasible	Feasible	