Urban Air Quality – an overview

Steve Crawshaw: Bristol City Council

Contents

- Health
- Legal
- Emissions
- Monitoring
- Citizen sensing
- Communications
- Planning and action planning

Health

- London smog (1952)
- Pope et. AI (2002)
 - "Long-term exposure to combustionrelated fine particulate air pollution is an important environmental risk factor for cardiopulmonary and lung cancer mortality."
- PHOF fraction of mortality attributable to PM air pollution

Health Impact Assessment

- PHE <u>Estimating Local Mortality</u> <u>Burdens</u>
- COMEAP Interim statement on quantifying association between health impact and nitrogen dioxide
- Updated <u>COMEAP note</u> in technical report of UK NO2 Plan
- BCC Health impacts <u>report</u>

Legal Framework

- From emission based controls
 - $-IPC \setminus LAAPC$
 - Best practicable means
 - Lead in petrol
- to ambient air quality regulation
 - EU Air Quality Directive
 - Members state
 - Local Air Quality Management
 - Local Authorities

EU Law

- Air Quality Framework Directive (1996)
 - Sets approach for assessment and management
 - Lists pollutants
- Air Quality Daughter Directives (x4)
 Pollutant specific
- Cleaner Air For Europe (CAFÉ 2008)
 Zones and agglomerations

UK LAQM

- Environment Act (1995)
 - Duty on LA's to report and manage air quality
 - AQMA and AQAP to "Act in pursuit" of compliance with air quality objectives for 8 pollutants
 - Technical Guidance LAQM TG.16
 - Sets out detailed approach to monitoring, reporting, data processing
 - Policy Guidance Action planning

Emissions: NOx









Emissions: Particulate Matter

PCM (updated since AQEG Report)



PCM modelled population weighted source apportionment of PM2.5 (2010) (AQEG)

BCC Monitoring: NOx

- <u>Continuous Monitoring</u>
- LAQM TG.16 "reference method" instruments = <u>chemiluminescence</u>
- Teledyne API T200 instruments
- Power, comms (landline \ GPRS \ GSM)
- Roadside monitoring residential exposure (worst case)

Monitoring PM

- Mass balance (TEOM)
- Beta Attenuation (BAM)
- Hourly gravimetric concentrations
- Particle size determined by head



Passive NO2 Monitoring



Diffusion tubes

- 20% TEA in water
- Monthly placement \ change
- Somerset Scientific Services
- QA through WASP
- In house changing \ placement
- Network reviewed annually
- Can be used for compliance assessment but less accurate than continuous



Data processing: Continuous

- Optical instruments subject to drift
- Fortnightly calibration required
- Calibration factors applied to data rather than adjusting the instrument
- Ratification monthly and annually
- Data is on SQL server
- Proprietary software to collect and manage data (Envista ARM)



е

X

5

▲ ↓ 10:04 ▲ ↓ ↓ 14/08/2018

Data processing: Tubes

- **DT's are co** located (triplicate) with continuous analysers and bias adjusted (~0.9) annually
- If data collection <75% they are also annualised
- We also distance adjust concentrations where location not representative of residential exposure
- Purpose built database does this.

Defra Monitoring

Use the interactive map below to explore different UK monitoring networks. The map shows the current sites within the network selected. Information about the selected network is shown below the map.





Show UK Regions Overlay

Defra Monitoring

- AURN mainly for compliance with EU directive
- Background (St. Pauls) NOx, PM, O3
- Roadside 4m from road, no junction within 25m, representative of 100m stretch (Temple Way) NOx PM10

Plots

- R \ <u>openair</u>
- Functions for calculating temporal distribution, plotting, subsetting and extracting data.

 $\text{PM}_{10}~(\mu\text{g m}^{-3})$ at Old Market and St. Paul's: 2012









NO2 trends at air quality monitoring sites in Bristol: 1998 - 2015



NO_x trend level at Bristol St. Pauls: 2010 - 2016

month

Scatterplot of NO_x vs NO₂ at Bristol sites



Time Variation of NO_x in Bristol: 2016



Communication

- Monitoring data
- Defra reporting
- Open Data
 - <u>https://opendata.bristol.gov.uk/pages/tri</u> <u>alno2map/</u>
- How effective is behaviour change?

<u>What is the Daily Air Quality Index?</u>

Nitrogen Dioxide Sulphur Dioxide PM2.5 Particles PM10 Particles Ozone

PM_{2.5} Particles

Based on the daily mean concentration for historical data, latest 24 hour running mean for the current day.

Index	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Band	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	High	High	High	Very Hi	igh
µgm ⁻³	0-11	12-23	24-35	>36-41	>42-47	>48-53	54-58	59-64	65-70	71 or n	nore
	Daily Air	Quality Index	– Saturday		Up to 5 day links below t				the		
		en al			« Prev	Next »					
	The state	a za			Today (27t	h February 2	2018)				
	Wednesday (28th February 2018)										
	Thursday (1st March 2018)										
	in the second	Friday (2nd March 2018)									
1 v	Saturday (3rd March 2018)										
New J		and	and a								
A.M.				er et		<u>2017 – 1</u> 2018 – 4	<u> </u>				

Recommended by COMPEAP

 Index of pollution levels and recommended actions and health advice. Forecast data and monitored (Urban Background St Pauls)

Recommended Actions and Health Advice					
Air Value Pollution Banding		Accompanying health messages for at- risk individuals*	Accompanying health messages for the general population		
Low	1-3	Enjoy your usual outdoor activities.	Enjoy your usual outdoor activities.		
Moderate	4-6	Adults and children with lung problems, and adults with heart problems, who experience symptoms , should consider reducing strenuous physical activity, particularly outdoors.	Enjoy your usual outdoor activities.		
High	7-9	Adults and children with lung problems, and adults with heart problems, should reduce strenuous physical exertion, particularly outdoors, and particularly if they experience	Anyone experiencing discomfort such as sore eyes, cough or sore throat should consider reducing activity, particularly outdoors.		

symptoms. People with asthma may find they

Recommended Actions and Health Advice

		need to use their reliever inhaler more often. Older people should also reduce physical exertion.	
Very Hig	h 10	Adults and children with lung problems, adults with heart problems, and older people, should avoid strenuous physical activity. People with asthma may find they need to use their reliever inhaler more often.	Reduce physical exertion, particularly outdoors, especially if you experience symptoms such as cough or sore throat.

Should BCC Issue Warnings?

Pollution episode data is publically available but maybe not known/accessible to all and those who might want it?

Potential Positive Impacts:

- Raise awareness of air pollution and short term impacts of pollution episodes on health
- Allow people to take direct action to reduce exposure on moderate + pollution days in line with COMEAP health advice
- Schools and medical professionals made aware to increase preparedness?
- Provide a trigger for BCC to implement short term pollution reduction measures to reduce local contribution and help raise awareness of sources of pollution

Should Bristol City Council Issue Warnings?

Potential negative impacts of warnings

- How General/targeted to those who sign up/are sensitive? Resource implications?
- South Wales study showed increased admissions due to warnings. <u>Evidence of effectiveness is limited</u>
- Assumption that air pollution is fine at other times But legal exceedences in Bristol due to <u>annual average</u> roadside pollution and health impacts of <u>long term exposure</u>
- If warnings are limited to exposure reduction advice open to criticism as in London. Sensitive individuals recommended to change behaviour but those adding to the pollution by driving, wood burning, construction activity etc. not advised to modify behaviour (Victim Pays, not Polluter Pays)

Citizen Sensing

- Low cost air quality sensors are becoming more available
- Often alphasense sensors repackaged
- Problems with reliability and accuracy
- Often compounded by cross sensitivity (pollutants \ humidity)
- AQEG Advice
- Pre engaged people often become less engaged
- Communications challenge for BCC need a protocol

AQT 420 - Trial



Air Quality Action Plans

- LAQM compliance aim
- Quantify and cost measures
- Drive for NO2 compliance is main feature
- But also PM
- And longer term objective.
 - Exposure reduction?
 - WHO limits?

Transport planning

- Modal shift \ suppressed demand
- Fiscally restrain demand for polluting journeys (CAZ)
- Take road space away from cars?
- Generate revenue to fund sustainable transport – RUC \ WPL
- No coherent funding regime
- Limited regulatory power

Planning policy

- Core strategy LDF
- Standalone Air Quality Policy
- Developer contributions on damage cost basis?

Development Management

- Applications reviewed for AQ impact
- Air Quality Assessments
- EPUK Air Quality and Planning guidance (non statutory)
- Significance criteria
- Object to > negligible
- But how to account for CAZ?